



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,170	12/17/2001	Thomas R. Mosey	001001	3285

7590

09/12/2005

Rodolf O. Siegesmund  
Suite 2000  
4627 N. Central Expressway  
Dallas, TX 75205-4017

EXAMINER
----------

SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,170

Applicant(s)

MOSEY, THOMAS R.

Examiner

Mohammad A. Siddiqi

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peters et al. (2002/0116411) (hereinafter Peters) in view of Pettersen et al. (6,826,594) (hereinafter Pettersen).

4. As per claim 1, Peters discloses a method for providing user control over alternative URL direction using a computer having an installed web browser and a block program comprising the steps of:

entering a new URL in the browser (new redirect url, 112, fig 1, pages 2-2, paragraph 31);

determining whether there is an exact match for the URL (pages 2-3, paragraph 30);

responsive to a determination that there is not an exact match for the URL (114, 118, fig 1, page 3, paragraph 31), displaying an invalid URL message and querying the user as to whether the user will accept an alternative URL (invalid URL, 120, fig 1, page 3, paragraph 31); and

responsive to a determination that the user will not accept the alternate URL (124, fig 1 and fig 2, there is option of yes or no), Peters does not specifically disclose the block program prevents the user from being sent to an alternate web site by the browser. However, Pettersen discloses the block program prevents the user from being sent to an alternate web site by the browser (246, 244, fig 4, page 21, lines 42-64, the blocking is done by pass indicator value). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Peters and Pettersen. The motivation would have been automatically validating and updating bookmarks/URL.

5. As per claim 2, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Peters discloses to a determination that the user will not accept the alternative URL (210, fig 2), determining whether the user will try a different URL (310, fig 3); and responsive to a determination that the user

will try the different URL, entering the different URL (page 31, paragraph 30).

6. As per claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Peters discloses the user with the option of entering another URL after the user has finished with the original URL, and responsive to a determination that the user will try another URL, entering another URL (alternate, 114, 118, fig 1, page 31, paragraph 30).

7. As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Peters discloses the user with the option of entering another URL after the user has finished with the alternative URL, and responsive to a determination that the user will try another URL, entering another URL (114, 118, fig 1, alternate, page 31, paragraph 30).

8. As per claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition peters discloses using a first computer (page 4, paragraph 57), powering the first computer; accessing the internet with the computer (page 4, paragraph 57); communicating a URL request in a browser installed on the first computer (114, 118, fig 1, page 2, paragraph,

30) by accepting a new URL in a browser request window (new redirect url, 112, fig 1, pages 2-2, paragraph 31).

9. As per claim 6, the claim is rejected for same reasons as claim 1, above.

### ***Response to Arguments***

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/023,170

Page 7

Art Unit: 2154

MAS

  
JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100